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Abstract: This quasi-experimental study was conducted to assess the efficacy of the Four-Pronged approach against the traditional teaching approach in enhancing the performance and attitude of the learners towards mathematics. The instruments in this study were content validated pretest-posttest and Attitude towards Mathematics Inventory (ATMI). The study consisted of two heterogeneous sections in Grade 7 at Wawangpulo National High School in the School Year 2015-2016. Thirty nine (39) learners were taught using Four-Pronged Approach, whereas thirty six (36) were taught using the traditional teaching approach. The scores in the achievement and the Attitude towards Mathematics Inventory were compared using the Student’s t-test for independent samples. The group of learners who were exposed in the Four-Pronged Approach has higher attitude mean score and posttest mean score than those who were exposed in the traditional teaching approach. On the other hand, a low correlation existed between the attitude scores and the posttest scores of the learners using the Pearson-Product Moment Correlation.
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1. Introduction

Dr. Dave Moursound of the University of Oregon believed that Mathematics can be categorized into three divisions- as a human endeavor, as an academic discipline, and as an interdisciplinary language and tool. The categories suggest that mathematics is absolutely a need to cope up with the fast changing spheres of cultural, social, and individual life. These also served as bases and reasons why Mathematics must be emphasized in the Basic Education Curriculum.

A global trend in mathematics education is mainly focused in attaining “quality mathematics education.” The term pertains to the formation of positive and appropriate image of Mathematics among the learners (UNESCO, 2012). The concept of quality mathematics education is not a misnomer among the policy makers, administrators and mathematics teachers. Evidently, the 10-Point Education Agenda of the former Aquino’s Administration, in fulfillment to the UNESCO’s call in achieving Education for All (EFA), aimed to implement the educational reforms in the country. Among the educational reforms that impact the acclaimed quality mathematics education are: (1). Expansion of basic education from 10 year cycle to a globally comparable 12-year cycle; (2). Rebuilding of science and mathematics infrastructure in schools and universities to encourage more students to become scientists, engineers, technicians, and teachers, making the country globally competitive in the field of industry and education; and (3). Quality textbooks that can be used by the students. Apparently, the Mathematics curriculum in the country is patterned in the curriculum of globally competitive “First World” countries including the United States of America, Canada and Australia. It is grounded on the learning principles and theories such as Experiential and Situated Learning, Reflective Learning, Constructivism, Cooperative Learning and Discovery & Inquiry-Based Learning (DepEd, 2013). All these teaching principles and theories have commonality- the emphasis of active learning among the learners.

The Philippines has produced top caliber scientists, engineers, mathematicians, and teachers. The country has topped winners in international mathematics competitions and inventions. However, it is alarming to take note that in general, the mathematical performance of Filipino students in international and national mathematics assessments is regressing (Imam, et. al, 2013; NETRC, 2012; Costa, 2013; Ganal & Guiab, 2014. In the Philippine Education for All 2015 National Review, it was revealed that the mean percentage score of the Filipinos in the National Achievement Test in Mathematics (both in elementary and high school) over the eight year period has not yet reached the Philippine EFA target of 75%.

A number of reports that majority of the learners (both in elementary and high school) in the country are having struggle in Mathematics. Many students
enter high school with severe gaps in mathematical concepts and skills (Rubin et. al, 2014). Calucag (2013) described that the basic mathematical skills seem to have been overlooked. Tan (2014) discussed that the problems in mathematics education in the country can be attributed due to the shortage of qualified mathematics teachers, especially in elementary level; overdependence of the teachers on textbooks but are unable to detect errors; math classrooms are still teacher- centered to finish the budget of work for a particular period; and difficulty of the students in understanding English.

Coherently, some of the above-mentioned situations and factors were observed by the researcher for the past three years of teaching at Wawangpulo National High School. Hence, it is deemed necessary to equip the students with the required learning experience that will improve and strengthen their mathematical competencies and attitude towards mathematics. This is an attempt of the researcher to test the advantages and uses of the Four-Pronged Approach in enhancing the mathematical performance and attitude of the learners in Mathematics 7, with emphasis on the lessons in the Second Quarter. This experiential teaching approach was proven to be effective in facilitating learning for the students with low numeracy performance in Singapore and in teaching the children with learning disabilities in the United States of America. It is believed that the Four-Pronged Approach will not only develop the mathematical performance but would also result to a more positive attitude of the learners towards mathematics.

Experiential Learning is viewed as a process wherein “knowledge is constructed through the transformation of experience.” It is synonymous to learning through reflection on doing, action learning, adventure learning, free choice learning, cooperative learning and service learning. In actual classroom scenario, facilitating learning among students must be provided by means of interactive learning activities. The learning engagement that students will gain from the activities promotes a student-centered learning approach. Thus, it eliminates the traditional teacher-centered learning approach. Through experiential learning, the students are given responsibility for their own learning and teachers simply facilitate and guide the learners towards their life-long learning.

The Four-Pronged Approach in teaching Mathematics considers both the internal and external factors of a learner. The approach has four target prongs namely; cognition, metacognition, environment, and motivation. The use of Experiential Learning Theory in the Four-Pronged Approach is evident in the given prongs, specifically the modes of grasping ideas (either concrete experience and abstract conceptualization) and modes of transforming ideas (either reflective and active experimentation).

Cognition is the specific knowledge and skills needed in order to perform the mathematical operation. This includes unlocking of difficulties (through math vocabularies- maximum of 7), schema preparation, asking of motive questions in the lesson. Likewise, cognition uses concrete-pictorial-abstract representations. In ELT, this prong can be in terms of concrete experiences and abstract conceptualization.

Metacognition is thinking beyond thinking that identifies the skills and strategies that need to be activated or enhanced in order to attain the succeeding learning goals. This prong is developed in the presentation of learning activities such as anchor task, guided practice, independent practice and reflection. Similar to cognition, the learning activities that facilitate metacognition among the learners can be demonstrated by grasping the ideas through concrete experiences and abstract conceptualization. Metacognition also promotes the modes of transforming ideas either by reflective or active experimentation, such as mathematics investigation, reflection, case study, and so on. On the other hand, it is important to nurture among the learners to resolve the conflicts, issues and differences by reflecting, thinking, and reasoning, as this promotes their metacognition and higher order thinking.

Motivation is a process of initiating, guiding, activating, and sustaining goal- oriented behaviors (Kendra, 2015). This involves biological, emotional, social, and cognitive factors that activate the we observe (Nevid, 2013). Overall, ELT promotes the holistic development of a learner (both cognitive and non-cognitive factors, including learner’s socialization, and attitudes), has provision to individual differences, and addresses the different learning styles of the learners.

Environment plays an important role in the learning experience of a student. ELT promotes that individual learning is a result of interaction between the learner and his environment. Hence, a supportive and cooperative environment enhances the learning engagement of the learners.

2. Method

2.1. Research Design

The researcher employed a quasi-experimental research particularly the pretest-posttest control group design. The groups who participated in the study were randomly selected from the four heterogeneous sections in Grade 7, excluding the cream class. This involved the comparison of the content validated pretest-posttest results of the learners immersed to the Four-Pronged and the traditional approach. The learners who were exposed
to the traditional approach were categorized as the control group and the learners immersed in the Four-Pronged Approach were designated as the experimental group. The experimental design used in this study was illustrated below.

![Figure 1. Experimental Design of the Study](image)

Where:
- **R** = random assignment
- **O** = observed measures
- **X** = experimental group
- **C** = control group
- **O₁O₃** = pretest and attitude test of the control and the experimental group.
- **O₂O₄** = posttest and attitude test of the control and the experimental group.

### 2.2. Respondents

The total number of learners who participated in the study was seventy five (75). It represented almost forty one percent (41%) of Grade 7 learners for the SY 2015-2016. Grade 7-Antonio Luna (consisted of 39 learners) was selected as the experimental group, whereas Grade 7-Macario Sakay (consisted of 36 learners) was chosen as the control group.

### 2.3. Instrument

This research utilized different instruments to gather pertinent data needed in the study. A table of specification was constructed to guide the researcher in constructing 40-item multiple choice questionnaires for the pretest and the posttest. The questionnaires contained all topics in the Second Quarter based on the Mathematics Learning Guide (Grade 7).

The 40-item multiple choice pretest and posttest questionnaires were reviewed, and evaluated by the academic experts to ensure their adherence to the principles of fairness and to ascertain that no bias existed with respect to the characteristics such as gender, ethnicity, language, and content. The Attitude towards Mathematics Inventory (ATMI) developed by Martha Tapia was found applicable among the learners in Grade 7, as it measures four subscales towards mathematics such as value, self-confidence, motivation, and enjoyment. This was found valid in all studies conducted worldwide.

### 2.4. Data Collection

Upon the evaluation and recommendations done by the academic experts, the researcher administered the pretest and posttest to 40 learners in Grade 8 for reliability test. Using Split-Half Reliability Procedure, the pretest yielded a Spearman-Brown Coefficient of 0.87 and a Guttman Split Half Coefficient of 0.86. On the other hand, the posttest gained 0.76 reliability coefficient both in Spearman-Brown and Guttman Split Half. The values were higher than the reliability coefficient of 0.70 set by Blooming Evaluation Services and Testing in Indiana University.

Before the Second Quarter began, the validated pretest and ATMI were administered to the experimental group and the control group. Similarly, the posttest and ATMI were given at the end of the Second Quarter (50-hour immersion) to both groups of learners. The groups were given ample time in answering the questions incorporated in the instruments.

To prevent bias in the study, the researcher handled the experimental group and another teacher handled the control group. Both groups under the study have similar class schedule in Mathematics.

### 2.5. Ethical consideration

The researcher sought permission to the concerned authorities, particularly the principal of Wawangpulo National High School and the Schools Division Superintendent of Valenzuela City prior to the conduct of the study.

### 2.6. Statistical Treatment

All quantitative data gathered were analyzed, computed, and interpreted using MS Excel and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Both descriptive statistics (kurtosis, skewness, mean, weighted mean, standard deviation) and inferential statistics (Student’s t-test and Pearson-Product Moment Correlation) were used to quantitatively answer the questions relevant to the study. The significance level (α) in all assertions of hypotheses was 5%. This implies that all data are 95% confident in making correct decisions.

The Attitude towards Mathematics Inventory (ATMI) originally utilizes five response codes ranging from 1 to 5. However, it was reduced to four response codes upon the suggestion of the academic experts to prevent neutral responses from the respondents. The response codes are shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerical Value</th>
<th>Verbal Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Disagree (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Agree (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Strongly Agree (SA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The scoring range for the composite score and the component wise scores are indicated in the succeeding table. Each positive statement receives the score based on points. Each negative statement reverses the score based on the given response codes. The accumulated scores in all subdomains of ATMI have corresponding attitudinal descriptions ranging from strongly negative to strongly positive. The composite score was computed by finding the sum of the four subdomains of the attitudes towards Mathematics. The numerical values obtained in the composite score determined the overall attitude of the learners and were interpreted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Range</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-70</td>
<td>Strongly Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-100</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101-130</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131-160</td>
<td>Strongly Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subdomain</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>27.39</td>
<td>24.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyment Self-Confidence</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>13.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite Score</td>
<td>60.10</td>
<td>53.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the table, the weighted mean of the value subscale of the subjects were 3.372 (experimental group), and 3.344 (control group), both were verbally interpreted as “agree.” The weighted mean for self-confidence subscale of the learners were 0.370 (experimental group) and 0.349 (control group), which were described as “strongly disagree.” The weighted mean of the motivation subscale of the subjects in the given Attitude test were 2.110 (experimental group) and 1.992 (control group), which were categorized as disagree and strongly disagree, respectively. The computed weighted means for the last subscale- enjoyment were 2.410 (experimental group) and 2.103 (control group), both were verbally described as disagree. Overall, the experimental group and the control group obtained a mean scores of 1.632 and 1.542, with a standard deviation of 0.284 (experimental group) and 1.542 (control group). The overall attitude score of the experimental group was higher than the overall attitude score of the control group by 0.090 point. The spread of the attitude scores for both groups was categorically similar.

### 3.2. Pretest Scores of the Experimental Group and the Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subdomain</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Score</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>12.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPS</td>
<td>35.46%</td>
<td>31.04%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above revealed that the experimental group yielded a mean score of 14.256 and a standard deviation of 4.558. The control group had a mean score of 12.417 and a standard deviation of 4.378. The mean percentage scores of 35.64%
(experimental) and 31.04% (control) were obtained, both interpreted as lower average. It can be noticed that the mean score of the experimental group was 1.839 higher than the mean score of the control group. Similar case applies for the standard deviations of both groups of respondents, wherein the experimental group had 4.558 and 0.180 higher as compared to the control group. This signified that the scatterness of data on both groups of respondents is almost similar.

3.3. Comparison Between the Attitude Scores before the Conduct of the Experiment of the Experimental Group and the Control Group

It can be gleaned on the given table that when the attitude scores (before the start of the experiment) of the groups were compared, it was found that the learners in both groups had shown a similar entry of attitudes towards mathematics. Proof of this was the registered mean scores (presented in Table 1) by the two groups of learners. At 5% level of significance and degree of freedom of 73, the computed t- value of 1.993 showed that at 5% level of significance, the critical t-value is lesser than the tabular t-value of 1.993.

Furthermore, using the Levene’s test for equality of variances, it showed that the probability value (p-value) of 0.663 is higher than the value of rejection which is 0.05. The literature suggests that null hypothesis must be accepted and no significant difference existed between the experimental and the control groups. Furthermore, it indicates that before the experiment was ensued, the cognitive levels of the two groups of learners were similar.

3.4. Comparison Between the Pretest Scores of the Experimental Group and the Control Group

Based on the table, it was clearly stipulated that at 5% level of significance and the degree of freedom of 73, the computed t value is lesser than the tabular t- value. Similarly, Levene’s test showed that the p-value of 0.663 is higher than the value of rejection which is 0.05. The literature suggests that null hypothesis must be accepted and no significant difference existed between the experimental and the control groups. Furthermore, it indicates that before the experiment was ensued, the cognitive levels of the two groups of learners were similar.

3.5. Relationship between the Attitude Scores before the Conduct of the Experiment and the Pretest Scores of the Experimental and the Control Groups

Table 5 revealed that the computed value of the correlation coefficient (r) is 0.15. This value implies that the relationship between the attitude scores and the pretest scores of both the experimental and the control group is almost negligible. This was also verified using the test statistics for correlation; it showed that at 5% level of significance, the critical t value is greater than the computed t value. Likewise, the probability value (p value) of 0.17 is higher than 0.05 rejection value. This indicates that null hypothesis must be accepted and no significant relationship existed between the attitude scores and the pretest scores of the experimental and control group before the start of the experiment.

3.6. Attitude Scores in Mathematics after the Conduct of the Experiment

Table 6. Attitude Scores of the Experimental Group and the Control Group after the Experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>Strongly Positive</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>Strongly Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyment</td>
<td>21.13</td>
<td>Strongly Positive</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>Strongly Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The table presented that all subscales of the attitude test have increased (for both experimental group and control group) after the conduct of the intervention activities. The experimental group had a composite score of 92.49, whereas the control group had a composite score of 72.10. The composite attitude scores obtained of the two groups have statistically improved however both scores showed negative attitudes towards Mathematics.

With these limited data, it is implied that attitude towards Mathematics is a broad complex structure that is difficult to observe. Thus, teachers must educate not only the learners but also other stakeholders of the learning community to support the learning engagement of the learners at school and at home. The linkage between the community and the learning environment fosters a harmonious relationship and better communication between these two institutions.

3.7. Posttest Scores of the Experimental Group and the Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Score</td>
<td>31.72</td>
<td>28.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPS</td>
<td>79.30% Superior</td>
<td>70.77% Upper Average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table showed that the experimental group spawned a mean score of 31.72 and a standard deviation of 2.77. On the other hand, the control group got a mean score of 28.31 and a standard deviation 3.48. Significantly, the table clearly revealed that the experimental group obtained a higher mean score of in the posttest as compared to the control group by 3.41. The experimental group had a lower standard deviation than the control group. This indicated that the dispersion of the scores in the experimental group was a bit smaller than the dispersion of the scores in the control group. Furthermore, the MPS of the experimental group was 79.30%, interpreted as superior while the MPS of the control group was 70.77%, coded as upper average.

It is implied that with the above limited data, teachers must never stop in finding ways on how to further enhance their teaching skills in Mathematics. In addition, teachers must not be hesitant in using experiential teaching approaches that will promote meaningful and lifelong learning experiences among the learners.

3.8. Comparison Between the Attitude Scores after the Conduct of the Experiment of the Experimental Group and the Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Critical Value</th>
<th>Computed t Value</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>Reject Ho</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene’s Test for Equality of Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value (sig.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scrutinizing the summary of results, it can be inferred that at 5% level of significance and degree of freedom of 73, the critical value of 1.99 is lesser than the computed t value of 6.40. Moreover, the Levene’s test generated a probability value of 0.08. These results suggested that the null hypothesis must be rejected and significant difference were seen from the mean scores of the two groups of respondents.

3.9. Comparison Between the Posttest Scores of the Experimental Group and the Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Critical Value</th>
<th>Computed t Value</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>Reject Ho</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levene’s Test for Equality of Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value (sig.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be gleaned that at 5% level of significance and degree of freedom equals 73, the computed t-value of 4.72 was higher than the critical t-value of 1.99. These statistics implied that null hypothesis must be rejected and the significant difference on the mean scores of the two groups of learners existed after the conduct of the experiment. Moreover, the group of learners who were exposed to the Four-Pronged Approach have better mathematical performance than those who were exposed to the traditional teaching approach. This proves that instructional predictor such as effective teaching approach in Mathematics greatly affects the performance of the learners (Andaya, 2014).
3.10. Relationship between the Attitude Scores after the Conduct of the Experiment and the Posttest Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Reject Ho</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table condensed that at 5% level of significance and 75 as total number of observations, the computed correlation coefficient between the composite attitude scores after the conduct of the experiment and the posttest scores of the subjects was 0.32. SPSS showed that significant relationship existed between the two variables. However, using the Garrett’s Coefficients of Correlation, the computed r coefficient of 0.32 was within the range of ±0.31-±0.50, which is qualitatively interpreted as low positive correlation. The p-value of 0.005 indicated strong evidence against null hypothesis. These given results suggest that definite and small relationship existed between the two variables that were measured.

This study contradicted the Western literature that Mathematics performance and attitude towards Mathematics are strongly correlated with each other. The result was backed up in the researches done by Mishra and Chincholikar (2014), and Li and Armstrong (2015). Finally, the limited scope of data implies that the relationship between the student’s attitude towards mathematics and their performance in this subject is inconclusive (Mensah, et,al, 2013) because attitude is a complicated human architecture that could hardly be observed and measured, considering it is affected by other demographic factors which are beyond the control of the teacher.

4. Conclusions and Recommendations

In view of the results, findings and hypotheses presented, the researcher deduced the following conclusions:

1. The learners have strongly negative attitudes towards Mathematics at the onset of the study.
2. The learners have similar cognitive levels at the onset of the study.
3. A negative and negligible correlation exists between the pretest scores and the composite attitude scores before the conduct of the experiment.
4. The composite scores of the learners who are exposed in the Four-Pronged Approach are higher than those who are exposed to the traditional teaching approach.
5. The learners who are exposed in the Four-Pronged Approach have better performance in Mathematics than those who are exposed to the traditional teaching approach.
6. A positive and weak correlation exists between the composite attitude scores after the conduct of the experiment and posttest scores of the two groups of learners.

In the light of the aforementioned findings, conclusions, and scope and delimitations of the study, the following are suggested:

1. The negative attitude scores of the learners towards Mathematics indicates that majority of the learners consider Mathematics as a least popular subject or a difficult subject to learn. This suggests that a teacher must be innovative, flexible, and resourceful in making Mathematics as a subject that is enjoyable and meaningful.
2. The school through the teachers must extend programs that will enable and educate the families, the community to support and make them involved in the activities which will have impact in the learning of the students.
3. A replication of the study using a larger sample and coverage of Mathematics topics should be conducted to ascertain the results of this study.
4. Further study must be conducted on the factors that affect the attitude of the learners towards Mathematics.
5. The use of Four-Pronged Approach in teaching Mathematics should be encouraged.
6. Engage all learners in the activities that will enhance their holistic development.
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