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Abstract In this paper a novel VLSI SP oriented architecture for implementation of serial parallel Multipliers (SPM) is proposed. The VLSI oriented multiplier is based on a segmentation technique of SPM and the conventional full adders are replaced by low power full adder. In this paper two architectures namely Segmented Based SPM, Folded VLSI oriented segmented based SPM are compared for power and area. The proposed VLSI SP oriented architecture achieves higher throughput and less area compared to the segmentation based serial parallel multiplier proposed (1). The proposed VLSI SP oriented architecture permits the optimization of the area, speed and power.

1. Introduction

Segmentation based architecture [1] is shown in Figure 1. The architecture is efficient in throughput and speed. The new proposed architecture i.e. VLSI SP oriented architecture uses VLSI Signal Processing concepts like folding to minimize the hardware resources. The hardware resources can be reduced by increasing the input clock frequency. In this paper the proposed architecture for 4 bit operand multiplication is implemented in Cadence for functionality verification. Sixteenbit, four-tap FIR is implemented in veri log for power and area comparison. The segmentation based architecture requires 5 full adders. The VLSI SP oriented segmentation based architecture requires 3 full adders almost 5.5% hardware is reduced by increasing only the input clock frequency. Increasing the input clock frequency is easier. The segmentation based SPM [1] uses two blocks MB 1 and MB2. It segments the computation i.e. the lower bits and the upper bits of Product is computed in different segments or blocks. The resultant products are given on two line Ph (upper bits) and PI (lower bits). The pi will be valid for m+q cycles where q is the number of bits in each segments and m is the number of serial input bits. The remaining bits of the product from the Ph are valid. The segmentation based architecture for serial parallel multiplier for n=6 bits, k=2 blocks and q=3 bits is shown in Figure 1.

2. SEGMENTATION BASED SPM

The Proposed SPM uses the folding concepts of VLSI SP. The folding transformation is used to systematically determine the control circuits in DSP architectures where multiple algorithm operations (such as addition operations) are time multiplexed to a single functional unit (such as pipelined adders). By executing the algorithm operations on a single functional unit, the number of functional units in the implementation is reduced resulting in an integrated
circuit with low silicon area. This architecture helps to minimize the area of SPM and also the resources. Only the clock speed has to be doubled (depending on the number of folding N). The proposed VLSI SP architecture saves 5.5% of the resources compared to the segmentation based SPM proposed in [1] for 4 bit multiplication. The proposed VLSI SP oriented Segmentation based SPM architecture is shown in Figure 4. Where each block contains only one full adder

The VLSI SP Oriented segmentation based proposed architectures consist of 1 Full adder in each block that is only 1 computational full adder in MB1 block and MB2 block. The architecture also requires One full adder for Ph output path in MB2 blocks. The proposed VLSI architecture folds the two full adders present in block MB1 into one full adder and the two full adders present in block MB2 to one full adder (two full adder folded to reduce the resource) for a 4-bit operand multiplication. The folding is done using multiplexers or MOSFET acting as switches. The inputs to the full adder are switched depending on the select line of the multiplexer. The delay flip flops are introduces based on the formula.

$$D_f(U \rightarrow V) = N \cdot w(u) \cdot p_u + v - u$$

Where

- $U$ = source node
- $V$ = destination node
- $p_u$ = the computation time of the source node.
- $D_f(U \rightarrow V)$ = folded delay obtained by folding the edges $(U \rightarrow V)$.
- $u$ = folding set value of the source node.
- $v$ = folding set value of the destination node.

The segmentation based SPM has two blocks i.e. MB1 and MB2, for 4 bit multiplier. The first 6 clock cycle the PI output is valid and the next 2 clock cycles Ph output is valid. The segmentation based SPM requires 1018 transistors for 4 bit serial parallel multiplication. As the number of bits increases the number of transistors also increases. The resource computed for 4 bit segmentation based SPM is given in Table 1.

![Figure 4 VLSI SP Oriented Segmentation based SPM architecture](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MB1</th>
<th>No of gates required</th>
<th>No of transistors required for each gate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AND gate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XOR gate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Adder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-FlipFlop</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AND gate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XOR gate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplexer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Adder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-FlipFlop</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The VLSI SP oriented segmentation based SPM requires 899 transistors for 4 bit serial parallel multiplication. As the number of bits increases the number of transistors also reduces as compared to Segmentation based SPM. The resource computation for 4 bit VLSI SP oriented segmentation based SPM is given in Table 2. The dynamic power consumption of the segmentation based SPM was found to be 1.78 mW and the dynamic power consumption of the VLSI SP oriented segmentation based SPM was 2.4595 mW.

### 3. Implementation in Cadence tool

The segmented based SPM and the VLSI SP Oriented (folded) segmentation based SPM are implemented in cadence tool and the results are compared. The top level schematics of segmentation based SPM is shown in Figure 5. The transient
The VLSI SP oriented segmentation based SPM and the Segmentation based SPM are implemented using Virtex II Pro FPGA XC2VP20 FF1152 for comparison of the hardware resources in FPGA. The top level VHDL code implemented for the MB 1 block of the segmentation based SPM is shown in figure 9. Similarly the MB2 block is also implemented which is not shown here. The top level code consists of both MB 1 and MB2. The device summary for the same after place and route is shown in Table 3. The RTL schematics obtained for the segmentation based SPM for the VHDL code implemented in Xilinx ISE 9.1 is shown in figure 9. The device summary for the same after place and route is shown in Table 3.

The RTL schematic for the VLSI SP oriented segmentation based SPM implemented in Xilinx ISE 9.1 is shown in figure 11. The device summary of the same is shown in figure 12. The figure 13 shows the output obtained from simulation of the VHDL code for VLSI SP oriented Segmentation based SPM. The simulator used is ISE Simulator.

4. Hardware implementation of Proposed SPM as FIR

The Segmentation based SPM and VLSI SP oriented segmentation based SPM are implemented as 4- tap, 16 bit FIR, using design vision tool from Synopsys for comparison of power. The two architectures are implemented in VERILOG. The
area comparison done by making the layout of the two architectures using SOC Encounter tool of Cadence. The leakage power consumption of segmented based SPM was found to be 6.6340uW. The leakage power consumption of VLSI SP oriented segmented based SPM was found to be 8.5064uW. Figure 12 shows the comparison of leakage power of the two SPM architectures.

Figure 12 Leakage power comparisons of the two architectures

The Dynamic power consumption of segmented based SPM was found to be 4.5967mW. The Dynamic power consumption of VLSI SP oriented segmented based SPM was found to be 4.9429mW. Figure 13 shows the Dynamic power comparisons of the two SPM architectures.

Figure 13 Dynamic power comparisons of the two architectures

Figure 14 figure 15 shows the layout of the two architectures of SPM. It can be seen that the proposed VLSI SP oriented Segmentation based SPM occupies less area. Figure 16 shows the area comparison of the two architectures based on the device summary report obtained from SOC encounter. It can be seen from the report that area occupied by Segmented based SPM was 6.6253x10E4 urn sq. The area occupied by VLSI SP oriented segmentation based SPM was 6.392 x 10E4 urn sq. Table 5 shows the Area comparison of the two architectures of SPM. It can be seen that VLSI SP oriented Segmentation based SPM occupies 5.5% less area compared to segmented based SPM for 4-tap 16 bit FIR. Figure 16 shows the Area occupied by two SPM architectures. Table 5 shows the area comparison.

Table 5 Area comparison of the two architectures of SPM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Area Estimate</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segmentation based SPM</td>
<td>6.6253x10E4um</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLSI Oriented Segmentation</td>
<td>6.392 x 10E4um</td>
<td>5.5% Less area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion and Future work

This paper describes a multiplier that has the desirable characteristics which will make it useful in a variety of VLSI orient ed signal processing applications. Its capability to handle two’s complement positive and negative numbers makes it compatible with standard hardware and standard data formats. The data throughput rate is approximately same as rate of the segmentation based serial parallel multiplier from which it is constructed. Further folding of Multipliers can be done to reduce the hardware complexity. The proposed VLSI SP oriented Segmentation based Serial Parallel Multiplier can be used in any image processing, signal processing or Biomedical applications. It gives the advantage of low area and improved speed as compared to the conventional FIR. Cut set retiming can be used to reduce the critical time.
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