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Abstract: The challenge for traffic engineers is to minimize the intersection delay and at the same time maximize intersection safety. To achieve this signal should be designed properly. Therefore before designing traffic signal it is necessary to study all the principal of traffic signal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Traffic signals are one of the most effective and flexible active control of traffic and is widely used in several cities world-wide. The conflicts arising from movements of traffic in different directions is addressed by time sharing principle. The advantages of traffic signal includes an orderly movement of traffic, an increased capacity of the intersection and requires only simple geometric design. However, the disadvantages of the signalized intersection are large stopped delays, and complexity in the design and implementation. Although the overall delay may be lesser than a rotary for a high volume, a user may experience relatively high stopped delay. This chapter discuss various design principles of traffic signal such as phase design, cycle length design, and green splitting. The concept of saturation flow, capacity, and lost times are also presented. First, some definitions and notations are given followed by various steps in design starting from phase design.

1.1 Phase design

The signal design procedure involves six major steps. They include: (1) phase design, (2) determination of amber time and clearance time, (3) determination of cycle length, (4) apportioning of green time, (5) pedestrian crossing requirements, and (6) performance evaluation of the design obtained in the previous steps. The objective of phase design is to separate the conflicting movements in an intersection into various phases, so that movements in a phase should have no conflicts. If all the movements are to be separated with no conflicts, then a large number of phases are required. In such a situation, the objective is to design phases with minimum conflicts or with less severe conflicts. There is no precise methodology for the design of phases. This is often guided by the geometry of the intersection, the flow pattern especially the turning movements, and the relative magnitudes of flow. Therefore, a trial and error procedure is often adopted. However, phase design is very important because it affects the further design steps. Further, it is easier to change the cycle time and green time when flow pattern changes, where as a drastic change in the flow pattern may cause considerable confusion to the drivers. To illustrate various phase plan options, consider a four legged intersection with through traffic and right turns. Left turn is ignored. See Figure 1.
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The first issue is to decide how many phases are required. It is possible to have two, three, four or even more number of phases.

1.1.1 Two phase signals

Two phase system is usually adopted if through traffic is significant compared to the turning movements. For example in Figure 2, non-conflicting through traffic 3 and 4 are grouped in a single phase and non-conflicting through traffic 1 and 2 are grouped in the second phase.
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However, in the first phase flow 7 and 8 offer some conflicts and are called permitted right turns. Needless to say that such phasing is possible only if the turning movements are relatively low. On the other hand, if the turning movements are significant, then a four phase system is usually adopted.

Four phase signals

There are at least three possible phasing options. For example, figure 3 shows the most simple and trivial phase plan.
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where, flow from each approach is put into a single phase avoiding all conflicts. This type of phase plan is ideally suited in urban areas where the turning movements are comparable with through movements and when through traffic and turning traffic need to share same lane. This phase plan could be very inefficient when turning movements are relatively low.

Figure 4 shows a second possible phase plan option where opposing through traffic are put into same phase.
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The non-conflicting right turn flows 7 and 8 are grouped into a third phase. Similarly flows 5 and 6 are grouped into fourth phase. This type of phasing is very efficient when the intersection geometry permits to have at least one lane for each movement, and the through traffic volume is significantly high. Figure 5 shows yet another phase plan. However, this is rarely used in practice.

There are five phase signals, six phase signals etc. They are normally provided if the intersection control is adaptive, that is, the signal phases and timing adapt to the real time traffic conditions.

1.2 Cycle time

Cycle time is the time taken by a signal to complete one full cycle of iterations. i.e. one complete rotation through all signal indications. It is denoted by $C$. The way in which the vehicles depart from an intersection when the green signal is initiated will be discussed now. Figure 6 illustrates a group of N vehicles at a signalized intersection, waiting for the green signal.
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As the signal is initiated, the time interval between two vehicles, referred as headway, crossing the curb line is noted. The first headway is the time interval between the initiation of the green signal and the instant vehicle crossing the curb line. The second headway is the time interval between the first and the second vehicle crossing the curb line. Successive headways are then plotted as in figure 7.
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The first headway will be relatively longer since it includes the reaction time of the driver and the time necessary to accelerate. The second headway will
be comparatively lower because the second driver can overlap his/her reaction time with that of the first driver's. After few vehicles, the headway will become constant. This constant headway which characterizes all headways beginning with the fourth or fifth vehicle, is defined as the saturation headway, and is denoted as $h_s$. This is the headway that can be achieved by a stable moving platoon of vehicles passing through a green indication. If every vehicles require $h_s$ seconds of green time, and if the signal were always green, then $S$ vehicles per hour would pass the intersection. Therefore, 

$$s = \frac{3600}{h_s}$$

where $S$ is the saturation flow rate in vehicles per hour of green time per lane, $h_s$ is the saturation headway in seconds. As noted earlier, the headway will be more than $h$ particularly for the first few vehicles. The difference between the actual headway and $h$ for the $i^{th}$ vehicle and is denoted as $e_i$ shown in figure 7. These differences for the first few vehicles can be added to get start up lost time, $t_1$ which is given by,

$$t_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i$$

The green time required to clear $N$ vehicles can be found out as,

$$T = t_1 + h_sN$$

where $T$ is the time required to clear $N$ vehicles through signal, $t_1$ is the start-up lost time, and $h_s$ is the saturation headway in seconds.

Effective green time

Effective green time is the actual time available for the vehicles to cross the intersection. It is the sum of actual green time ($G_i$) plus the yellow minus the applicable lost times. This lost time is the sum of start-up lost time ($t_1$) and clearance lost time ($t_2$) denoted as $t_L$. Thus effective green time can be written as,

$$g_i = G_i + Y_i - t_L$$

Lane capacity

The ratio of effective green time to the cycle length

$$\left(\frac{g_i}{C}\right)$$ is defined as green ratio. We know that saturation flow rate is the number of vehicles that can be moved in one lane in one hour assuming the signal to be green always. Then the capacity of a lane can be computed as,

$$c_i = s_i \frac{g_i}{C}$$

where $c_i$ is the capacity of lane in vehicle per hour, $s_i$ is the saturation flow rate in vehicle per hour per lane, $C$ is the cycle time in seconds.
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